

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1, 3-5 and 7-20 remain pending in the application, as claims 2 and 6 were previously canceled without prejudice. In the Office Action, the drawings were objected to because they included reference characters not mentioned in the description. Also, claims 12 and 18 were objected to because of several informalities. Applicants have amended the specification to include the reference characters and have amended claims 12 and 18 and thus request withdrawal of these objections. Concerning the Examiner pointing out that the specification does not include a Summary of the Invention section, Applicants do not believe that such a section is required, and the Examiner does not appear to have requested amendment of the specification to incorporate such a section. Also in the Office Action, claims 1-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0007410 to Seagren, et al. (Seagren) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,195,366 to Kayashima, et al. (Kayashima).

Independent claim 1 has been amended to clarify that the dedicated ports create a dedicated path and that header information does not need to be included when transferring packets over the dedicated path. Independent claims 9 and 17 have been similarly amended. Support for the amendments can be found on page 36, line 21 to page 37, line 2. No new matter has been added. Seagren and Kayashima do not mention anything about this feature, which allows for ease of data transfer and reduced overhead. As such, Applicants submit that the above claims are patentable over the prior art. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of the claims is

respectfully requested. Passing of this case is now believed to be in order, and a Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. No amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless Applicants have argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.

In the event that the Examiner deems the present application non-allowable, it is requested that the Examiner telephone the Applicants' attorney or agent at the number indicated below so that the prosecution of the present case may be advanced by the clarification of any continuing rejection.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any necessary fee, or credit any overpayment, to Motorola, Inc. Deposit Account No. 50-2117.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 8, 2008

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Motorola, Inc.
1303 E. Algonquin Road
IL01/3rd
Schaumburg, IL 60196

Customer Number: 24273

By: /Larry G. Brown/
Larry G. Brown

Attorney of Record
Reg. No. 45,834

Tel: 954-723-4295 direct line
Tel: 954-723-6449 main line

Fax No.: (847) 576-5217